

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

My

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/662,932	09/15/2000	Peter Radusewicz	11-SW-4905	3535
7590 02/24/2004			EXAMINER	
John S Beulick			JACKSON, STEPHEN W	
Armstrong Teasdale LLP One Metropolitan Square			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 2600	1	2836		
St Louis, MO	63102		DATE MAILED: 02/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/662,932	RADUSEWICZ ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stephen W Jackson	2836
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	rply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0:		
· <u> </u>	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		·
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Εχ paπe Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-69</u> is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are witho 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-69</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	
,	Examinor, Note the attached	Office Action of formal 10-102.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the ettached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	nst of the centiled copies not f	eceivea.
Attachment(s)	Λ Π ((PTO 412)
) U Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4.6</u> .		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	,	-

Application/Control Number: 09/662,932

Art Unit: 2836

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-69 are still provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-28 of copending Application No. 09/751,868. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because differences between the two sets of claims are unremarkable design variations well within the abilities of persons of ordinary skill in the art of solenoid controlled devices.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Applicant's arguments, see remarks, filed 11-3-03, with respect to claims 46 and 47 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The USC 102 rejection of claims 46 and 47 has been withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments filed 11-3-03 with respect to the provisional double patenting rejection of claims 1-69 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Application number 09/751,868 has received a Final rejection from the

Art Unit: 2836

examiner (Mr. Toatley), but is not yet abandoned and could still become a U.S. patent. A terminal disclaimer will be required as stated in the last office action during all times that 09/751,868 is pending.

This action includes the IDS 1449's that were not mailed in the last action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen W Jackson whose telephone number is 571-272-2051. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am-3:00pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on 571-272-2800 x36. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SWJackson

February 23, 2004

Stephen W/ack

Page 3

PRIMARY EXAMINER